

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/961,255	09/25/2001	Bernard Dieny	213954US2	8064		
22850 7	7590 08/04/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	CCLELLAND	FALASCO, LOUIS V				
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			1773			
			DATE MAILED: 08/04/2000	DATE MAIL ED: 08/04/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	F
		09/961,25	55	DIENY, BERNARD	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	•	Art Unit	
		Louis Fala	isco	1773	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	tion appears on the	cover sheet with	the correspondence address	SS
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic. o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor in the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF TH 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no evo- ation. ry period will apply and wi by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICA ent, however, may a rep ill expire SIX (6) MONTH lication to become ABA	ATION. ly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this commuNDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice of the second	☑ This action is n allowance except	for formal matter	•	erits is
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,3-6 and 9-11 is/are pending if 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3-6 and 9-11 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from co			
Applicati	on Papers				
10)□	The specification is objected to by the ExThe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) n to the drawing(s) be correction is require	e held in abeyance ed if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	* *
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)[_] a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docenses of the priority docenses of the priority docenses of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have bee cuments have bee he priority docume Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Appents have been re e 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Sta	ge
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO r No(s)/Mail Date	•		Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)

Application/Control Number: 09/961,255

Art Unit: 1773

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

PAPERS RECEIVED

1. The Amendment and Remarks filed 4/19/04 are acknowledged.

CLAIMS

2. The claims are: 1, 3-6 and 9-11.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

3. Claims 1, 3-6 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Swagten et al (Physical Review B vol. 53 Nov 14 pp 9108 – 9114) or Singleton et al (US 2002/001207) – either on taken with Ikarashi et al (US 6210810) or Fukuzawa et al (US 6338899).

Swagten et al and **Singleton et al** are cited for reasons of record (i.e., final rejection mailed 7/11/2003). Applicants' Amendment and Remarks of 4/19/04 contend the instant claims are distinguished from the teachings of **Swagten et al** and

Art Unit: 1773

Singleton et al by the amendment of 4/19/05 limiting the claims to the conductive reflective nonmagnetic layer being *directly in* contact with a spin valve magnetic layer (re: last complete paragraph of page 5 Amendment Remarks of 4/19/04 and Examiner's Interview of 09/05/03 noted in the interview summary page 2). However Ikarashi et al and Fukuzawa et al (newly cited) teach the worker of ordinary skill to modify a spin valve in a record play head by teaching the layer of conductive nonmagnetic layer directly in contact with a magnetic layer (Ikarashi et al: as illustrated at Fig. 1 layer 33 col. 8 lns 38,39; Fig. 3 conductive layer 48 col. 10 lns 21-26; and shown as a known convention to directly contact at least one magnetic layer at Fig 20 layer 29, col. 2 lns 47,48; and **Fukuzawa et al:** as illustrated at Fig 5 with reflective conductive composition layer 101 in direct contact with magnetic free layer 110; Fig 6 with layer 121 in direct contact with magnetic free layer 129; Fig. 26 non-magnetic layers; or either of layers 3 or 4 in Figs. 32/33/34/39-42; or as layer 147 in Fig. 50-52; col. 2 lns 53-56; col. 3 lns 3-7, 21-26, 33, 34; col. 5 lns 7-10; col. 13 lns 45, 46; col. 22 lns 43-46; col. 23 lns 8,9).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have one of the conductive nonmagnetic layer in *direct* contact with the magnetic layers in the spin valve, as shown by **Ikarashi et al** or by **Fukuzawa** et al, in the spin valve of the head in either **Swagten et al** or **Singleton et al** to more effectively generate the magnetoresistive effect required in the head spin valve. One skilled in the art would have been motivated to adopt the nonmagnetic layer in a spin valve as shown by **Ikarashi et al** or **Fukuzawa et al** with the expectation of increasing

the responsiveness of the head enhancing the magnetoresistive effect of the spin valve as seen in **Ikarashi et al** note the RH curve of Fig. 11 and see col. 3 ln 65 – col. 4 ln 4 or in **Fukuzawa et al** see col. 2 lns 55-58 and col. 6 lns 13-21; Table 2.

As to claim 4 thickness for the reflective, conductive layer see **Ikarashi et al** col. 8 lns 41, 42 **Fukuzawa et al** Fig. 3, col. 3 ln 44; col. 14 lns 63,64; col. 30 ln 9. As to claim 3 materials for the reflective, conductive layer see **Ikarashi et al** col. 10 lns 43, 44; **Fukuzawa et al** layer 101; col. 29 ln 18

CONCLUSION

The claims are 1, 3-6 and 9-11

• No claim has been allowed.

INQUIRES

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Louis Falasco, PhD whose telephone number is (571)272-1507. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:30 - 7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol D. Chaney, PhD can be reached at (571)272-1284. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1773

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LF 04/06

CAROL CHANEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER